

POLITICS

A FORUM TO END THE STALEMATE

SDLP leader JOHN HUME finds the commitment of the parties involved in the New Ireland Forum encouraging and points out that all political movement on Northern Ireland is now awaiting its outcome.

Just over a year ago the Secretary of State announced yet another effort to solve the Northern Ireland problem through an elected assembly. We told him that the Unionists would seek, and get, a mandate to refuse any concessions to minority interests, and that the Assembly would not and could not achieve its purpose. That is exactly what has happened. The most depressing aspect of this initiative was that it showed that British thinking had not moved one iota from the days of the Constitutional Convention of 1975. While the British maintain that attitude, the Unionists have only to refuse to negotiate in order to block any change.

It has been clear to us for some time that present British policy is as much a stumbling block in the path of progress as Unionist intransigence. It has been clear, too, that there will be no changes of Unionist attitudes until there is a change of policy in London. The main thrust of SDLP efforts in recent times has been to achieve a change in British policy.

On the one hand we have identified the unilateral guarantee to the Unionists as the main buttress of their intransigence. On the other hand we have sought to transfer consideration of the problem out of the sterile confines of Northern Ireland into the broader and more open plane of Anglo-Irish relations.

If we are seeking a change in British policy, however, it is imperative that we clear our own minds as to what that change should be. Equally, it is imperative that those of us, North and South, who believe in working for a new Ireland by constitutional means, should work out a consensus view of the sort of New Ireland we want. Only then can maximum political strength be brought to bear behind the proposals.

As early as 1978 we proposed that the major constitutional parties of the Irish tradition, North and South, should work out a joint approach to the problems facing us. Faced with a fresh British initiative which was clearly going to fail, that task could no longer be deferred. That is why we proposed a Council for a New Ireland to the electorate in October 1982. The Taoiseach and the leaders of the major parties decided jointly upon the creation of the Forum.

When the Forum was announced, the proposal was greeted with a great deal of cynicism. It was widely described as a crutch for the SDLP, and the objective of achieving a powerful consensus between the component parties was described as unrealistic. It is, perhaps, no great harm that public expectations of the Forum were low, because the Forum was thereby spared the sort of external pressures which can inhibit serious and difficult negotations.

In the last few weeks, however, there has been a perceptible change in public attitudes to the Forum. Its public sessions, in which the authors of some of the wide range of written submissions have been questioned in depth about their views, have increased public awareness of the Forum's work. There is a growing realisation that the Forum is addressing the most fundamental and difficult aspects of



the Irish problem with realism and courage and in a manner that is long overdue. It has also become clear that no participating party can afford to be accused of responsibility for its failure.

There have been, to date, over 200 written submissions to the Forum, from such widely varying sources as Nobel Peace prize-winner Sean Mac Bride and Conservative MP Sir John Biggs-Davison, and including just about every strand of political thinking on this island. Some weeks ago a team of representatives from each party in the Forum travelled North and met a wide range of religious and political groups for discussions. The Forum has received and is considering every conceivable shade of opinion.

This input of submissions has encouraged and stimulated a fundamental re-examination, by the Forum, of the traditional and basic attitudes which have characterised the politics of both sides of the quarrel here. This was, and is, the most urgent purpose of the Forum, because traditional attitudes and policies have not brought us one whit nearer to a solution. They are in fact a major part of the problem.

We have reached a stalemate in Northern Ireland which can only be broken if all the parties to the conflict are prepared to rethink their basic positions. First and foremost the British government and parliament must give the problem the degree of priority which it warrants. For several decades the British have sheltered behind the 'policy' of guaranteeing the position of the Unionists, on the pretext that this is the only possible 'democratic' response to the problem of the North.

We dispute the view that the guarantee is a democratic one, but the most important observation to be made is that this 'policy' has not produced peace and order in Northern Ireland, and threatens to destabilise the whole of Ireland. The British must, sooner or later, confront that fact. The sooner they do, the better for all of us.

However, the rest of the people on this island must ask themselves an equally difficult question: 'Why is it that we have failed to persuade the British and the Unionists to reassess their position?' Every proposal made for change

NEW IRELAND FORUM

Detritus of History continued from page 7

siderable objectivity – you suddenly realise that though for years each side has watched and listened to the other and formed their images of the opposition, here for the first time there is a kind of dialogue. It is hesitant, partial and unsatisfactory, but at least our top Nationalists are able to ask the questions of Unionism that up to now they have answered for themselves in the recesses of their own souls. And not unexpectedly, the answers are different.

John Hume and his party are once again the exceptions. If one has the odd feeling of witnessing something entirely new as the southerners publicly test their beliefs against the sharp edge of Unionism, there is a familiarity in the encounters between the SDLP questioners and the witnesses who explain the Unionist point of view – familiarity not in the sense of familiar argy-bargy, a harking back to Orange and Green, but a shared language, a mutual recognition of common problems.

There has been a high degree of civilisation in these exchanges that underline how, in a hopeful sense, things have moved on since the last 1960s, even if, not unnaturally, both parties are expressing irreconcilable points of view.

There have been others who have brought the Forum firmly down to reality even if some of its members may have been tempted to believe that there were no surprises outside of Unionism. Frank Curran, for example, with his evidence that the demography of Northern Ireland was far difterent from what the official census showed, but in spite of that there would be no vote for unity but a new border: or John Robb, whose massive submission running into many thous-

John Hume on Forum continued from page 4

has been met with outright rejection by Unionists, and has failed to enlist the committed support of the British. When the objections of the Unionists are reduced to their essential core, they amount to one thing: 'Any change will be a step in the direction of a united Ireland, and the Loyalist ethos could not survive in those circumstances.'

That same objection has been applied to power-sharing, Anglo-Irish co-operation (in almost any sphere except security), or indeed any concession to minority interests in Northern Ireland. Therefore it is imperative that we face the challenge inherent in this objection to see if there is anything in our attitudes which gives any substance to it. The challenge is to define our aspirations in such a way as to

ands of words showed what scope there has been for constructive and original thinking about the problems of a divided nation, normally neglected.

From outside, one can generally only see the part of the picture that the Forum itself wants us to see – there have been 200 submissions ranging from the nutty through the wishfully thoughtful to the comprehensively researched and down to earth – but the evidence that is there for all to see suggests that on the documentation side, few holes will remain unplugged.

Having amassed the evidence, what will be done with it? The aim is to have a report by Christmas (the Taoiseach's original target-date) or some weeks later, before Garret FitzGerald meets Mrs Thatcher in February or March, when it could be given a first airing in a practical sense. Up to now, all four parties have not ruled out the possibility of a report that will be unanimously supported by everyone at the Forum, though it seems far more likely that the final document will contain a series of options with some indication of their feasibility and a plethora of detail about costings and other data.

If it does this, it would exclude by implication other options – the kind that remain on the loony fringe of violence – and may help to sharpen thinking in some of the fuzzy areas of what has passed traditionally as policy on the 'national question'. It would be the height of optimism to suppose that the report would remove the North from Southern domestic politics, but it could help to change the coinage of debate and put it on a more rational basis.

All this presupposes that in the discussions about constitutional and political, as opposed to economic and social, questions, the four parties can produe a useful meeting of minds. None of them is unaware that the price of failure is likely to be a victory by default for Sinn Fein, and that thought is proving to have a remarkably sobering and salutary effect.

Fergus Pyle, former editor of the 'Irish Times', is covering the New Ireland Forum for that paper.

FORTNIGHT

is now available in the following bookshops: IRELAND Books Upstairs, Market Arcade, South Gt. Georges Street, Dublin 2@Bookworm Community Bookshop, 12 Orchard Street, Derry@Just Books, 7 Winetavern Street, Belfast 1@University Bookshop, 91 University Road, Belfast 7

BRITAIN

Bush Books, 144 Shepherds Bush Precinct, London W2@Central Books, 37 Grays Inn Road, London WC1@Compendium, 234 Camden High Street, London NW1@Kilburn Bookshop, 8 Kilburn High Road, London NW6@The Other Bookshop, 137 Digbeth, Birmingham 5@Fullmarx, 110 Cheltenham Road, Bristol 5@Grapevine, 186 East Road, Cambridge@-

One-O-Eight Community Bookshop, 108 Salisbury Road, Cardiff@First of May, 43 Candlemaker Row, Edinburgh 1@News from Nowhere, 100 Whiteabbey, Liverpool 1@Grass Roots Books, 1 Newton Street, Manchester 1@Days of Hope, 115 Westgate Road, Newcastle-upon-Tyne 1@EOA Books, 34 Cowley Road, Oxford@Independent Bookshop, 34 Glossop Road, Sheffield 10

NORTH AMERICA

Keshcarrigan Bookshop, 90 West Broadway, New York GFacsimile Bookshop, 16 West 55th Street, New York GSCM Bookroom, 333 Bloor Street West, Toronto Ask your local bookshop to order FORTNIGHT today

> show beyond doubt that the Ireland we seek will not prejudice any legitimate interest, nor threaten any section of its population.

> Consideration of these fundamental questions is long overdue, and the Forum has made a constructive and positive effort to address them. The degree of commitment shown by the four parties to the tasks we have set ourselves is immensely encouraging. The fact that the Taoiseach, the Tanaiste and other government ministers, as well as the Leader and Deputy Leader of the opposition, and front bench member sof all four parties, have committed so much of their time to it, is an indication of the seriousness of their purpose. The strength of the initiative represented by the Forum is demonstrated by the fact that all British, Anglo-Irish and Northern Irish political movement awaits its outcome. Some crutch!